Modeling Patterns for LocalSolver

T. Benoist, J. Darlay, B. Estellon, F. Gardi, R. Megel







Who we are



Large industrial group with businesses in construction, telecom, media *www.bouygues.com*

Innovation24 Operation Research subsidiary of the Bouygues group

LocalSolver Flagship product of Innovation 24

www.localsolver.com



LocalSolver in one slide

Select a set S of P cities among N Minimizing the sum of distances from each city to the closest city in S



```
function model() {
    x[1..N] <- bool();
    constraint sum[i in 1..N] (x[i]) == P;</pre>
```

```
minDistance[i in 1..N] <- min[j in 1..N] (x[j] ? distance[i][j] : +inf);
minimize sum[i in 1..N] (minDistance[i]);
```

Results on the OR Library

- 28 optimal solutions on the 40 instances of the OR Lib
- an average gap of 0.6%
- with 1 minute per instance

LocalSolver in one slide

Select a set S of P cities among N Minimizing the sum of distances from each city to the closest city in S



```
function model() {
    x[1..N] <- bool();
    constraint sum[i in 1..N] (x[i]) == P;</pre>
```

```
minDistance[i in 1..N] <- min[j in 1..N] (x[j] ? distance[i][j] : +inf);
minimize sum[i in 1..N] (minDistance[i]);
```

Results on the OR Library

- 28 optimal solutions on the 40 instances of the OR Lib
- an average gap of 0.6%
- with 1 minute per instance

An hybrid math programming solver

For large-scale mixed-variable non-convex optimization problems

Providing high-quality solutions in short running time without any tuning

Outline

- JocalSolver
- Modeling Patterns for Local Search ?
- Six Modeling Patterns







Modeling Patterns for LocalSolver

Why?







Modeling patterns ?

A classic topic in MIP or CP



Very little literature on modeling for Local Search...
...because of the absence of model-and-run solver
→ models and algorithms were designed together and not always clearly separated







Choose the right set of decision variables







Select a set S of P cities among N Minimizing the sum of distances from each city to the closest city in S



```
function model() {
    x[1..N] <- bool();
    constraint sum[i in 1..N] (x[i]) == P;</pre>
```

}

```
minDistance[i in 1..N] <- min[j in 1..N] (x[j] ? distance[i][j] : +inf);
minimize sum[i in 1..N] (minDistance[i]);
```

Results on the OR Library

- 28 optimal solutions on the 40 instances of the OR Lib
- an average gap of 0.6%
- with 1 minute per instance

Precompute what can be precomputed







Precompute what can be precomputed

Document processing : dans un tableau une case de texte a plusieurs configurations *hauteur x largeur* possibles.



45x 43

Comment choisir la configurations de chaque case de façon à minimiser la hauteur du tableau (sa largeur étant limitée) ?



Precompute what can be precomputed

Première modélisation : 1 variable de décision par configuration (largeur, hauteur) possible pour chaque cellule

Formulation étendue :

- On remarque qu'à partir de la largeur d'une colonne on peut déterminer la hauteur minimum de chacune de ses cellules.
- 1 variable de décision par largeur possible pour chaque colonne
- Conséquence : en changeant une variable de décision, LocalSolver va changer la hauteur et la largeur de toutes les cellules dans la colonne



-> R. Megel (Roadef 2013).

Modélisations LocalSolver de type « génération de colonnes » .

LocalSolver



Do not limit yourself to linear operators







Do not limit yourself to linear operators

TRAVELING SALESMAN PROBLEM

MIP approach: X_{ij} =1 if city j is after city i in the tour

- Matching constraints $\sum_{j} X_{ij} = 1$ and $\sum_{i} X_{ij} = 1$
- Plus an exponential number of subtour elimination constraints
- Minimize $\sum_{ij} c_{ij} X_{ij}$

Polynomial non-linear model: : X_{ik} =1 if city *i* is in position *k* i in the tour

- Matching constraints $\sum_k X_{ik} = 1$ and $\sum_i X_{ik} = 1$
- $Y_k \leftarrow \sum_i iX_{ik}$ the index of the kth city of the tour
- Minimize $\sum_k c_{[Y_k, Y_{k+1}]}$



"at" operator

TSP Lib: average gap after 10mn = 2.6%

LocalSolver

Separate commands and effects







Separate commands and effects

Multi-skill workforce scheduling



Candidate model

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Skill}_{atk} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \text{agent } a \text{ works on skill } k \text{ at timestep } t \\ & \text{Constraint } SUM_k (\text{Skill}_{atk}) <= 1 \\ & \text{Constraint } OR_k (\text{Skill}_{atk}) == (t \in [\text{Start}_a, \text{End}_a[)) \end{aligned}$



Problem: any change of *Start_a* will be rejected unless skills are updated for all impacted timesteps

LocalSolver

17 24

Separate commands and effects

Multi-skill workforce scheduling



Alternative model

SkillReady_{atk}= 1 \Leftrightarrow agent *a* will works on skill *k* at timestep *t* **if present** *Constraint SUM_k* (SkillReady_{atk}) == 1 Skill_{atk} \leftarrow AND(SkillReady_{atk}, t \in [Start_a, End_a[)



Now we have no constraint between skills and worked hours -> for any change of *Start_a* skills are automatically updated

LocalSolver

Separate commands and effects

Similar case: Unit Commitment Problems

- A generator is active or not, but when active the production is in [P_{min}, P_{max}]
- Better modeled without any constraint

```
ProdReady_{gt} \leftarrow float(P_{min}, P_{max})
Active_{gt} \leftarrow bool()
Prod_{gt} \leftarrow Active_{gt} \times ProdReady_{gt}
```







Invert constraints and objectives ?







Invert constraints and objectives

Clément Pajean	Vendredi 28
<i>Modèle LocalSolver d'ordonnancement d'une machine unique</i>	14h
sous contraintes de Bin Packing	Bât B TD 35







Use dominance properties







Use dominance properties

Batch scheduling for *N* jobs having the same due date D.

- Completion time of each job will be that of the batch selected for this job
- \succ Linear late or early cost ($\alpha_k \beta_k$)

We can **minimize a minimum**

adjusted after each move

As if starting date was automatically



Summary

- 1. Choose the right set of decision variables
- 2. Precompute what can be precomputed
- 3. Do not limit yourself to linear operators

LocalSolver

- 4. Separate commands and effects
- 5. Invert constraints and objectives ?
- 6. Use dominance properties



Your turn!







Why solving a TSP with LocalSolver ?





Industrial « Bin-packing »

Assignment of steel orders to « slabs » whose capacity can take only 5 different values



27 24

Industrial « Bin-packing »

Assignment of steel orders to « slabs » whose capacity can take only 5 different values





- when a value can be computed from others it is defined with operator
 (it is an intermediate variable)
- moving from a feasible solution to another feasible solution only requires modifying a small number of **decision** variables.

Industrial « Bin-packing »

Assignment of steel orders to « slabs » whose capacity can take only 5 different values



Modeling patterns for Mixed-Integer Programming

- MIP requires linearizing non linear structures of the problem
- The polyhedron should be kept as close as possible to the convex hull -> valid inequalities, cuts, and so on
- Symmetries should be avoided (or not...)







Modeling patterns for constraint programming

- Choice of variables (integer, set variables, continuous...)
- Choice of (global) constraints
- Redundant constraints
- Double point of view (with channeling constraints)
- And so on







Modeling patterns for Local Search?

Very little literature on this topic...

...because of the absence of model-and-run solver

→ models and algorithms were designed together and not always clearly separated









www.localsolver.com